1. Approaches

The following risk analysts — presented in private discussions and journeys by interest, prerogatives, and jurisdictional areas of expertise — are cited herein for the sake of comprehensiveness (e.g. new, more, and less); and the decision of which particular perspective or methods are used is left to the judgement of the project manager (Perdicoúlis, 2015c). Considering the above, the decision of which particular method is used is left to the judgement of the project manager.

1.1 Facts

As with all other breakdown structures (Perdicoúlis, 2010), the configuration and context of the RiBS must be decided per project.

1.2 Dynamics — Academic Activism

In the perspective of project management, such predicaments are expressed as ‘Risks & impacts’ — investigations of occurrence, typically in terms of frequency and/or likelihood. ‘Liability analysis’ — attributes legal responsibilities; may require causality analysis; and/ or spatial analysis — investigates the space where risks have occurred and/ or are likely to occur.

1.3 Economics

As with all other breakdown structures (Perdicoúlis, 2013), the configuration and context of the RiBS must be decided per project. The value of RiBS is organisational: it helps to organise and display the activities of the project that are subject to risk.