

# Summary of Mapping Rules from Logical UML Models to Relational Schemas

Translated from:

UML – Metodologias e Ferramentas CASE, Vol. 1, 2ª Edição, pp. 314-315

Alberto Silva e Carlos Videira, Centro Atlântico (2005)

<b>Rule 1</b>	Classes are mapped into relation schemas
<b>Rule 2</b>	Class attributes are mapped to attributes of relations.
<b>Rule 3</b>	Operations of classes are generally not mapped. They can nevertheless be mapped to <i>stored procedures</i> , stored and executed in the global context of the database involved.
<b>Rule 4</b>	Objects are mapped into tuples of one or more relations.
<b>Rule 5</b>	<p>Each object is uniquely identified.</p> <p>If the identification of an object is defined <b>explicitly</b> by the OID (<i>object identifier</i>) stereotype, associated with one or more attributes, this attribute is mapped to primary key in the relation schema.</p> <p>Otherwise, we assume <b>implicitly</b> that the corresponding primary key is derived from a new attribute with the name of the relation and common suffix (e.g. "PK", "ID").</p>
<b>Rule 6:</b>	The mapping of many-to-many associations involves the creation of a new relation schema, with attributes acting together as primary key, and individually as foreign key for each of the schemas derived from the classes involved.
<b>Rule 7:</b>	The mapping of one-to-many associations involves the introduction, in the relation schema corresponding to the class that has the constraint "many", of a foreign key attribute for the other schema.
<b>Rule 8:</b>	The mapping of one-to-one associations has in general two solutions. The first corresponds to the fusion of the attributes of the classes involved in one common schema. The second solution is to map each of the classes in the corresponding schema and choose one of the schemas as the most suitable for the introduction of a foreign key attribute for the other schema. This attribute should also be defined as unique within that schema.
<b>Rule 9:</b>	Association navigability in general has no impact on the mapping process. The exception lies in one-to-one associations, when they are complemented with navigation cues it helps in the selection of the schema that should include the foreign key attribute.
<b>Rule 10:</b>	Aggregation and composition associations have a minimal impact on the mapping process, which may correspond to the definition of constraints cascade ("CASCADE") in changing operations and/or removal of tuples.
<b>Rule 11:</b>	<p>The mapping of generalization associations in general presents three solutions.</p> <p>The first solution consists in crushing the hierarchy of classes in a single schema corresponding to the original superclass. This solution is appropriate when there is a significant distinction in the structure of sub-classes and/or when the semantics of their identification is not strong.</p> <p>The second solution is to consider only schemas corresponding to the sub-classes and duplicate the attributes of the super-class in these schemas; in particular it works if the super-class is defined as abstract.</p> <p>The third solution is to consider all the schemas corresponding to all classes of the hierarchy, resulting in a mesh of connected schemas and maintained at the expense of referential integrity rules. This solution has the advantage of avoiding duplication of information among different schemas, but suggests a dispersion of information by various schemas, and might involve a performance penalty in query operations or updating of data by requiring the execution of various join operations (i.e. "JOIN") and/or validation of referential integrity.</p>