Information Retrieval Overview

DAPI . Information Description, Storage and Retrieval Course MIEIC, 2020/21 Edition

Sérgio Nunes DEI, FEUP, U.Porto

Based on Chapters 1, 2, 6, 11, 12 from Introduction to Information Retrieval, Manning, C. et al. (2008)

Background Concepts

Incidence Matrix

 \cdots

Figure 1.1 A term-document incidence matrix. Matrix element (t, d) is 1 if the play in column d contains the word in row t , and is 0 otherwise.

Boolean Model

- → In the Boolean Retrieval Model queries are represented in the form of a Boolean expression of terms.
- ➔ E.g.: [Brutus AND Caesar AND NOT Calpurnia]
	- \rightarrow \Rightarrow 110100 AND 110111 AND 101111 = 100100
- ➔ The model views each document as a set of words.
- **→ Bag of Words (BoW) model, where the exact ordering of terms in a document** is ignored and only their presence is considered.

Inverted Index

Dictionary

Figure 1.3 The two parts of an inverted index. The dictionary is commonly kept in memory, with pointers to each postings list, which is stored on disk.

Postings

Index Construction

- **→ Choosing the <u>document unit</u> for indexing and the index granularity are** important first steps.
- \rightarrow There is a precision / recall tradeoff in this decision.
	- and the relevant information is hard for the user to find."

➔ "If the units get too small (e.g. sentences), we are likely to miss important passages because terms were distributed over several mini-documents, whereas if units are too large (e.g. books) we tend to get spurious matches

Tokenization

➔ "Given a character sequence and a defined document unit, tokenization is the

task of chopping it into pieces, called tokens."

Input: Friends, Romans, Countrymen, lend me your ears; Output: $|$ Friends $||$ Romans $||$ C

→ "A token is an instance of a sequence of characters in some particular document that are grouped together as a useful semantic unit for processing."

 \rightarrow "A type is the class of all tokens containing the same character sequence."

Stop Words

→ The strategy to determine stop words is by looking the collection frequency of a term (*cf*), i.e. the total number of times a term appears in the document

→ A stop list is a (commonly) hand-picked list of terms to be discarded during

from tor that the its of on

mantically nonselective words that are common in

- the retrieval process, e.g. a word that exists in all documents.
- collection.
- the indexing process.

→ Stop words are words considered of little value in helping select documents in

Token Normalization

➔ "Token normalization is the process of canonicalizing tokens so that matches occur despite superficial differences in the character sequences of the tokens."

-
- watch, watches, watching).
- hope of reducing inflectional forms.
-

➔ Some forms of normalization commonly employed are: accents, capitalization, and stemming and lemmatization for dealing with different forma of a word (e.g.

→ Stemming refers to a heuristic process that chops off the ends of words in the

→ Lemmatization refers to the process of reducing inflectional forms by using vocabularies and the morphological analysis of words to find a it's lemma.

Term Weighting

Ranked Retrieval

➔ With the Boolean model a document either matches or does not match a query. In large document collections this is not feasible, thus it is essential for a

 \rightarrow Note that there are scenarios where recall is determinant (i.e. all documents

- search system to ranked-order the documents.
- need to be analyzed) and thus Boolean search is used.

Parametric and Zone Indexes

 \rightarrow Although we have considered documents to be a simple sequence of terms, most documents have additional structure (e.g. email message). Additionally, metadata is often associated with a document (e.g. date, authors, title).

→ Parametric indexes are inverted indexes built for specific parameters, or fields, that support parametric search (e.g. "all documents from author Z containing

-
- word Y").
- \rightarrow Zones are a similar concept applied to arbitrary free text (e.g. portion of a specific zone index.

document). For example, a document's abstract can be associated to a

Zone Indexes

Figure 6.2 Basic zone index; zones are encoded as extensions of dictionary entries.

Figure 6.3 Zone index in which the zone is encoded in the postings rather than the dictionary.

Ranked Boolean Retrieval

➔ Zones (or fields) can be weighted differently to compute each document's relevance simply using a linear combination of zone scores, where each zone

 \rightarrow Zone weights can be specified by an expert (commonly the end user) but are usually learned by the system based on training examples. This method is

- of the document contributes a Boolean value.
- known as "machine-learned relevance" or "learning to rank".

Term Frequency (tf)

- zone, but the number of mentions of the term (i.e. term frequency).
- of a term to the term's frequency.
- \rightarrow The term frequency of a term in a document is denoted tf $_{\text{t.d.}}$
- **→** In the <u>bag of words model</u>, the ordering is ignored but the number of occurrences of each term is key (in contract with Boolean retrieval).

 \rightarrow The next step is not to consider only the presence or not of a query term in a

 \rightarrow The simplest form of weighting terms differently is simply to assign the weight

→ Raw term frequency suffers from a problem: all terms are considered equally important when assessing a query, when in fact some terms are of little use in

Document Frequency (df)

- determining relevance.
	-
- collection is the document frequency of a term (df), i.e. the number of documents that contain a term.

➔ For example, in a collection of thesis dissertations, the term "dissertation" is less likely to be of value since this term probably exists in every document.

→ An important measure to incorporate the <u>discriminative power of a term</u> in a

Inverse Document Frequency (idf)

- using the concept of inverse document frequency (idf).
	-

- ➔ Where N is the total number of documents in the collection.
- \rightarrow The rarer the term is in a collection, the higher it is its idf.

 \rightarrow The document frequency of a term is incorporated in the weight of a term by

$idf_t = log \frac{N}{df_t}.$

tf-idf

- classical measure in Information Retrieval, the tf-idf weighting scheme.
	-
- \rightarrow tf-idf_{t,d} assigns a term t a weight in a document d that is:
	-
	- documents;
	- \rightarrow lowest when the term occurs in virtually all documents.

➔ Combining term frequency (tf) with inverse document frequency (idf) results in a

$\mathsf{tf-idf}_{t,d} = \mathsf{tf}_{t,d} \times \mathsf{idf}_t.$

➔ highest when t occurs many times within a small number of documents;

➔ lower when the term occurs fewer times in a document, or occurs in many

Vector Space Model

Vector Space Model

- Information Retrieval operations.
-
- in a vector space, in which there is one axis for each term.

 \rightarrow The representation of a set of documents as vectors in a common vector space is known as the vector space model and is fundamental to number

 \rightarrow In a nutshell, each document is represented as a vector, with a component vector for each dictionary term. tf-idf weights are used as components.

 \rightarrow Thus, the set of documents in a collection may be viewed as a set of vectors

Figure 6.11 Cosine similarity illustrated: $\text{sim}(d_1, d_2) = \cos \theta$.

Figure 3.1

greater than can be illustrated on a two-dimensional page.

Document vectors on the vertices of the unit hypercube: each text is represented by a vector whose component, along each term axis, is either 0 or 1. Thus, all vectors must terminate only at one of the corners of the cube. In real life the number of dimensions is far

Cosine Similarity

- cosine similarity of the vector representations of the two documents.
- the angle between the two vector representations of the documents.
- \rightarrow This approach compensates the effect of document length.

 $\sin(d_1, d_2) =$

 \rightarrow To quantify the similarity between two documents in this vector space, the

 \rightarrow In other words, the similarity between two documents is given by the cosine of

$$
=\frac{\vec{V}(d_1)\cdot\vec{V}(d_2)}{|\vec{V}(d_1)||\vec{V}(d_2)|},
$$

Queries as Vectors

- documents.
- \rightarrow The top ranked results for a given query are thus the documents whose

➔ Queries can also be represented as vectors in a n-dimensional space, being n the number of terms in the query. Basically, queries are viewed as very short

vectors have the highest cosine similarity in comparison with the query vector.

Figure 2.8 Document similarity under the vector space model. Angles are computed between a query vector \vec{q} and two document vectors \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 . Because $\theta_1 < \theta_2$, d_1 should be ranked higher than d_2 .

Language Models for Information Retrieval

Language Models for Information Retrieval

- ➔ Central idea: a document is a good match for a query if the document model is likely to generate the query.
- \rightarrow In the basic language model approach, a probabilistic language model is built for each document in the collection (M_d).
- \rightarrow For a given query, documents are ranked based on the probability of the model generating the query: *P(q|Md)*.

Language Models

- from some vocabulary.
- \rightarrow The sum of all probabilities over a vocabulary for a language model is 1.
- unigram model.
	- product of independent term probabilities:
	- \rightarrow P_{unigram}(t₁t₂t₃t₄) = P(t₁) x P(t₂) x P(t₃) x P(t₄)

 \rightarrow A language model is a function that puts a probability measure over strings drawn

➔ The simplest language model, discards all context information (i.e. nearby words), and estimated the probability of each term independently — this is called an

 \rightarrow In this case, the probability of a sequence of terms (e.g. a query) is simply the

Language Models

- \rightarrow Bigram language models condition the probability of each term on the previous item:
	- \rightarrow P_{bigram}(t₁t₂t₃t₄) = P(t₁) x P(t₂|t₁) x P(t₃|t₂) x P(t₄|t₃)
- ➔More complex language models are important in tasks such as speech recognition, spelling correction, or machine translation.
- →In Information Retrieval most language-modeling work uses unigram language models. In IR language models are often estimated from a single model so there is little information to do more.

Example of Language Models

- ➔ Unigram Language Models:
	- → M_{d1}: portugal: 0.143 (1/7); eye: 0.143; ...
	- → M_{d2}: after: 0.143; portugal: 0.143; election: 0.286 (2/7); ...

- ➔ D1: Portugal eyes political balance in presidential election
- ➔ D2: After Portuguese elections, Spain braces for elections

Retrieval based on Language Models

- ➔ Approach for retrieving documents under a language model (LM):
	- ➔ 1. Infer a LM for each document.
	- \rightarrow 2. Estimate P(q|M_{di}), the probability of generating the query according to each of these document models.
	- \rightarrow 3. Rank the documents according to these probabilities.

Example of Retrieval with Language Models

- ➔ Q: [portugal election]
- \rightarrow P(q|d₁) = P(portugal|M_{d1}) x P(election|M_{d1}) = 1/7 x 1/7 = 0.0204
- \rightarrow P(q|d₂) = P(portugal|M_{d2}) x P(election|M_{d2}) = 1/7 x 2/7 = 0.0408
- ➔ D1: Portugal eyes political balance in presidential election
- ➔ D2: After Portuguese elections, Spain braces for elections

