

SEGINF, MCI 2024/25

Quantitative evaluation of the final deliverables of the mini-projects

							40%
Group	# studs		Theme	Strengths	Weaknesses	Proposed Grade	Final grade
1	4	1.	Data Leaks	+ document: concise, covering aspects promised in the “specification” + content: constant effort to systematize concepts and situations = added value: elaboration of institutional document	'= discourse: not consistently clear because of some redaction issues and awkward tables - references: mix-up of “Bibliographic references”, “Bibliography”, footnotes - formatting of document: not nice	16	16
2		2.	Internet Control				
3	3	3.	Fake vs Accurate Information	+ clarity: amazingly good + references: abundant and well presented + content: document worthy of a place in the faculty’s library + added value: elaboration of comprehensive document useful for (self) learning	'= pictures: not so clear (mainly because some were undersized) - content: few points not very well accomplished (e.g. <i>blockchain</i>)	19	19
4		4.	Internet of Things				
5	4	5.	Security Strategies	+ effort to tackle a “security approach for Cloud environments” based on many and different technical aspects	- discourse: hard to read, repetitious; mostly, publicity (apology) of “Cloud Access Security Brokers (CASB)” - references: inconsistent, incomplete - content: after all, how does CASB specifically operate?	12	12
6		6.	...				