





Turbulent energy cascade in viscoelastic isotropic turbulence

C. B. da Silva*, F. T. Pinho[§] & P. C. Valente*

*LASEF/IDMEC - Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon §CEFT - Faculty of Engineering, University of Porto

Osaka University 28 Oct 2013





Outline

- Overview of the direct numerical simulations
- Added dissipation due to polymer additives in forced HIT
- Effect of polymer additives on the turbulence energy cascade
- The inter-scale energy cascade caused by the polymers
- Summary





DNS of statistically steady viscoelastic turbulence

Numerical method

• FENE-P model for the polymer stress 7

$$T_{ij}^{[p]} \equiv \frac{\mu^{[p]}}{\tau} \left(\frac{L^2 - 3}{L^2 - C_{ii}} C_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \right)$$

- Pseudo-spectral solver for velocity with 2/3rd de-aliasing
- Kurganov-Tadmor solver for conformation tensor
- Third-order Runge-Kutta in time
- Alvelius (1999) forcing on first 4 waveno.

DNS parameters:

- N=192³ (statistically steady)
- Solvent/total viscosity ratio: $\beta = 0.8$ $[c = 20 \text{ p.p.m.} \rightarrow 2 \text{ p.p.m.}]$

$$\begin{cases} \tau = [0.1, 0.125, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 1.0] \\ Wi \equiv \tau / (\nu / \varepsilon^{[N]})^{1/2} = [1.6, \dots, 10.4] \\ De \equiv \tau / (\ell / u') = [0.3, \dots, 1.8] \end{cases}$$

- Max. polymer extension: L = 100, $3\% < \sqrt{C_{ii}}/L < 39\%$
- Reynolds number: $50 < Re_{\lambda} < 70$





Added dissipation due to polymer additives in forced HIT















FIG. 5. (Color online) Dependence of $\delta = \varepsilon_{\nu} / \varepsilon_i$ on the Deborah number. × marks the numerical results (Ref. 6), a triangle marks the result of Ouellette *et al.* (Ref. 34; see also Ref. 9), + is for Ref. 18, and the circle denotes the present estimate. The error bars display the experimental uncertainty in the case of the present experiment and the variation of concentration in case of the experiment of Ref. 9, respectively.





Recall: In Newtonian turbulent flows the rate of dissipation is <u>inversely</u> proportional to turnover time for a given turbulent kinetic energy



$$\varepsilon_k = C_{\varepsilon} u'^3 / \ell \sim \frac{u'^2}{\ell / u'}$$

Previous slide:

- Decrease in kinetic energy
- Increase in turnover time
- Thus decrease in dissipation
- What about C_{ε} ?







- The polymer `hampers' the effectiveness of turbulence in dissipating kinetic energy
- However, the polymer additives efficiently transfer kinetic to elastic energy and dissipate it
- Overall there is <u>`drag' increase</u>





Effect of polymer additives on the turbulence energy cascade





Lin equation for statistically steady homogeneous viscoelastic turbulence

























































































How do the geometrical statistics look like?





Q - **R** : Invariants of velocity derivative tensor







Q - **R** : Invariants of velocity derivative tensor

Newtonian









Q - **R** : Invariants of velocity derivative tensor

Newtonian









Qs-Rs : Invariants of rate of strain tensor





 $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$

```
a = \lambda_1 / \lambda_2
```





Qs-Rs : Invariants of rate of strain tensor

Newtonian









Qs-Rs : Invariants of rate of strain tensor

Newtonian









Qs- Qw invariants



32





Qs- Qw invariants











Qs- Qw invariants











Summary





Summary

- Polymers offer an additional energy dissipation mechanism causing `drag increase'
- Little change on energy cascade flux, w.r.t eddy turnover time even when polymers dissipate 80% of the total power input but no high waveno. energy feedback from polymers
- For higher Wi, polymers remove more energy at large scales than they are able to dissipate and feedback the deficit at small scales
- Changes in cascade flux relative to turnover time seems to be closely related to high wavenumber energy feedback from polymers
- Massive changes in geometrical statistics for large Wi