Hybrid Wavelet-PSO-ANFIS Approach for Short-Term Wind Power Forecasting in Portugal

J. P. S. Catalão*, Member, IEEE*, H. M. I. Pousinho*, Associate Member, IEEE*, and V. M. F. Mendes

*Abstract—***The increased integration of wind power into the electric grid, as it occurs today in Portugal, poses new challenges due to its intermittency and volatility. Wind power forecasting plays a key role in tackling these challenges. A novel hybrid approach, combining wavelet transform, particle swarm optimization, and an adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system, is proposed in this paper for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal. A thorough comparison is carried out, taking into account the results obtained with seven other approaches. Finally, conclusions are duly drawn.**

*Index Terms—***Forecasting, fuzzy logic, neural networks, swarm optimization, wavelet transform, wind power.**

I. INTRODUCTION

WIND generation is the fastest growing source of renewable energy [1], [2]. In Portugal, the wind power goal foreseen for 2010 was established by the government as 3750 MW, representing about 25% of the total installed capacity in 2010. This value has been raised to 5100 MW by the most recent governmental goals for the wind sector. Hence, Portugal has one of the most ambitious goals in terms of wind power [3], [4], and in 2006 was the second country in Europe with the highest wind power growth.

The wind energy is free, so all wind-generated electric energy is accepted as it comes, i.e., as it is available. However, the availability of the power supply generated from wind energy is not known in advance. Hence, the integration of a large share of wind power in an electricity system leads to some important challenges [5]. Wind power forecasting plays a key role in tackling these challenges [6].

Wind power prediction is a primary requirement for efficient large-scale integration of wind generation in power systems and electricity markets [7]–[12]. The time scales concerning shortterm prediction are in the order of some days (for the forecast horizon) and from minutes to hours (for the time-step) [13].

In the technical literature, several methods to predict wind power have been reported, namely physical [14] and statistical

Manuscript received February 02, 2010; revised July 02, 2010; accepted August 30, 2010. Date of publication September 13, 2010. The work of H. M. I. Pousinho was supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) under Ph.D. grant (SFRH/BD/62965/2009).

V. M. F. Mendes is with the Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon *[Please provide postal code]*, Portugal.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TSTE.2010.2076359

methods. A comprehensive report on the state-of-the-art in short-term prediction of wind power can be found in [15].

to the portugal, passes the challenges due to the methods in particular and the phase in the phase of the phase of the phase challenges. A more than the phase challenges are challenges and the phase challenges and the pha The physical method requires a lot of physical considerations to reach the best prediction precision. Supercomputers are usually required to run numerical weather prediction (NWP) models [16]. For a physical model, the input variables will be the physical or meteorology information, such as description of orography, roughness, obstacles, pressure, and temperature. The statistical method aims at finding the relationship of the on-line measured power data. For a statistical model, the historical wind power data may be used. The physical method has advantages in long-term prediction while the statistical method does well in short-term prediction [17].

n account the results of method in the physical constraints are activities and description of the particular state of the physical method is not all the physical method is not all the electronic state is not all the elec The conventional statistical models are time-series-based models [18], including autoregressive (AR), and autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) [19] models. The persistence models are considered as the simplest time-series models, but they can surpass many other models in very short-term prediction [17]. Particularly, persistence beats the NWP-based model for short prediction horizons (ca 3–6 hours) [15]. The persistence model is a useful first approximation for short-term wind power forecasting. A new reference model (NRM) was proposed in [20], which can be used instead of the persistence model.

Some new methods are catching researcher's attention, namely data mining [21], neural networks (NNs) [22]–[26], fuzzy logic and neuro-fuzzy (NF) [27]–[29], evolutionary algorithms [30], and some hybrid methods [31]–[33]. The accurate comparison of all the methods is quite difficult because these methods depend on different situations and the data collection is a formidable task. However, it has been reported that artificial intelligence methods outperformed others in short-term prediction [17].

In this paper, a novel hybrid approach is proposed for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal. The proposed approach is based on the combination of wavelet transform (WT), particle swarm optimization (PSO), and adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system (ANFIS). Our hybrid WPA approach is compared with persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and wavelet-neuro-fuzzy (WNF) approaches, to demonstrate its effectiveness regarding forecasting accuracy and computation time.

- The contributions of this paper are threefold:
- 1) to propose a novel hybrid approach for short-term wind power forecasting;
- 2) to improve forecasting accuracy, taking into account the results obtained with seven other approaches;

J. P. S. Catalão and H. M. I. Pousinho are with the University of Beira Interior, Covilha, Portugal, and the Center for Innovation in Electrical and Energy Engineering, IST, Lisbon *[Please provide postal code]*, Portugal (e-mail: catalao@ubi.pt).

3) to offer a practical solution in terms of computational burden.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the proposed approach to forecast wind power. Section III provides the different criterions used to evaluate the forecasting accuracy. Section IV provides the numerical results from a real-world case study. Section V outlines the conclusions.

II. PROPOSED APPROACH

orach is based on the combination of WT,

NEW The WT is used to decompose the wind power $\frac{1}{2}$ that the transition between the properties are forecasted using Fig. 1. Multilevel decomposition presessant that is ensure The proposed approach is based on the combination of WT, PSO, and ANFIS. The WT is used to decompose the wind power series into a set of better-behaved constitutive series. Then, the future values of these constitutive series are forecasted using ANFIS. The PSO is used to improve the performance of ANFIS, tuning the membership functions required to achieve a lower error. Finally, the ANFIS forecasts allow, through the inverse WT, reconstructing the future behavior of the wind power series, and therefore, to forecast wind power.

A. Wavelet Transform

The WT convert a wind power series in a set of constitutive series. These constitutive series present a better behavior than the original wind power series, and therefore, they can be predicted more accurately. The reason for the better behavior of the constitutive series is the filtering effect of the WT.

WTs can be divided in two categories: continuous wavelet transform (CWT) and discrete wavelet transform (DWT). The CWT $W(a, b)$ of signal $f(x)$ with respect to a mother wavelet $\phi(x)$ is given by [34]

$$
W(a,b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)\phi\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right)dx \tag{1}
$$

where the scale parameter a controls the spread of the wavelet and translation parameter b determines its central position. DWT is more efficient and just as accurate as the CWT [35]. DWT is defined as

$$
W(m,n) = 2^{-(m/2)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} f(t) \phi\left(\frac{t-n2^m}{2^m}\right)
$$
 (2)

where T is the length of the signal $f(t)$. The scaling and translation parameters are functions of the integer variables m and $n(a=2^m, b=n2^m);$ is the discrete time index.

A fast DWT algorithm based on the four filters (decomposition low-pass, decomposition high-pass, reconstruction lowpass, and reconstruction high-pass filters) was developed by Mallat [36].

Multiresolution via Mallat's algorithm is a procedure to obtain "approximations" and "details" from a given signal. By successive decomposition of the approximations (Fig. 1), a multilevel decomposition process can be achieved where the original signal is broken down into lower resolution components.

A wavelet function of type Daubechies of order 4 (abbreviated as Db4) is used as the mother wavelet $\phi(t)$. This wavelet offers an appropriate trade-off between wavelength and smoothness, resulting in an appropriate behavior for short-term wind power forecasting. Similar wavelets have been considered by previous researchers for load forecasting [34], [35] and price

Fig. 1. Multilevel decomposition process.

forecasting [37], [38]. Also, three decomposition levels are considered, as in [38], since it describes the wind power series in a thorough and meaningful way.

B. Particle Swarm Optimization

PSO is a heuristic approach first proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [39] as an evolutionary computational method. The PSO algorithm is based on the biological and sociological behavior of animals searching for their food [40].

Empirical evidence has been accumulated to show that the algorithm is a useful tool for optimization [41]. PSO has been applied to many optimization problems in engineering, for instance [42].

Consider an optimization problem of D variables. A swarm of N particles is initialized in which each particle is assigned a random position in the D-dimensional hyperspace. Let x denote a particle's position and v denote the particle's flight velocity over a solution space.

The best previous position of a particle is *Pbest*. The index of the best particle among all particles in the swarm is *Gbest*. Velocity and position of a particle are updated by the following update rules:

$$
v_i(t) = \omega v_i(t-1) + \rho_1 \frac{(x_{\text{Pbest}_i} - x_i(t))}{\Delta t}
$$

$$
+ \rho_2 \frac{(x_{\text{Gbest}} - x_i(t))}{\Delta t}
$$
(3)

$$
x_i(t) = x_i(t-1) + v_i(t)\Delta t \tag{4}
$$

ired to achieve a lower

one, the plane is the constrained in the state of the where ω is an inertia weight, Δt is the time-step value, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are random variables defined as $\rho_1 = r_1 C_1$ and $\rho_2 = r_2 C_2$, with $r_1, r_2 \sim U(0, 1)$, and C_1 and C_2 are positive acceleration constants. The time-step is necessary to make the algorithm dimensionally correct. Also, constants C_1 and C_2 are both set at 2.0, following the typical practice in [43].

Fig. 2 illustrates a search mechanism of a PSO technique using the velocity update rule (3) and the position update rule (4).

An inertia correction function called "inertia weight approach (IWA)" is also used in this work [43]. During the IWA, the inertia weight ω is modified according to the following equation:

$$
\omega = \omega_{\text{max}} - \frac{\omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}}{\text{It}_{\text{max}}} \text{Itr}
$$
 (5)

Fig. 2. Updating the position mechanism of PSO.

Fig. 3. ANFIS architecture.

where ω_{max} and ω_{min} are the initial and final inertia weights, I t r_{max} is the maximum number of iteration, and Itr is the current number of iteration.

C. ANFIS

ANFIS is a class of adaptive multilayer feedforward networks, applied to nonlinear forecasting where past samples are used to forecast the sample ahead. ANFIS incorporates the self-learning ability of NN with the linguistic expression function of fuzzy inference [44].

The ANFIS architecture is shown in Fig. 3. The ANFIS network is composed of five layers. Each layer contains several nodes described by the node function. Let O_i^j denote the output of the *i*th node in layer i .

In layer 1, every node i is an adaptive node with node function

$$
O_i^1 = \mu A_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2
$$

or

$$
O_i^1 = \mu B_{i-2}(y), \quad i = 3, 4
$$
 (7)

where x (or y) is the input to the *i*th node and A_i (or B_{i-2}) is a linguistic label associated with this node. The membership functions for A and B are usually described by generalized bell functions, e.g.,

$$
\mu A_i(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left|\frac{x - r_i}{p_i}\right|^{2q_i}}
$$
\n(8)

where $\{p_i, q_i, r_i\}$ is the parameter set. Any continuous and piecewise differentiable functions, such as triangular-shaped membership functions, are also qualified candidates for node functions in this layer [45]. Parameters in this layer are referred to as premise parameters.

In layer 2, each node \prod multiplies incoming signals and sends the product out

$$
O_i^2 = w_i = \mu A_i(x) \mu B_i(y), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{9}
$$

Each node output represents the firing strength of a rule.

In layer 3, each node N computes the ratio of the *i*th rules's firing strength to the sum of all rules' firing strengths

$$
O_i^3 = \bar{w}_i = \frac{w_i}{w_1 + w_2}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$
 (10)

The outputs of this layer are called normalized firing strengths.

In layer 4, each node computes the contribution of the i th rule to the overall output

$$
O_i^4 = \bar{w_i} z_i = \bar{w_i} (a_i x + b_i y + c_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (11)
$$

where \bar{w}_i is the output of layer 3 and $\{a_i, b_i, c_i\}$ is the parameter set. Parameters of this layer are referred to as consequent parameters.

In layer 5, the single node Σ computes the final output as the summation of all incoming signals

$$
O_i^5 = \sum_i \bar{w}_i z_i = \frac{\sum_i w_i z_i}{\sum_i w_i}.
$$
 (12)

Thus, an adaptive network is functionally equivalent to a Sugeno-type fuzzy inference system.

0.

Solution 1 and the state interesting the contribution of the situation of the sit In this paper, ANFIS employs the PSO method to adjust the parameters of the membership functions, as in [46]. The PSO techniques have the advantage of being less computationally expensive for a given size of network topology. The membership functions considered in this study are triangular-shaped.

D. Hybrid Approach

(6)

In this section, the algorithm used to implement the proposed approach is described step-by-step.

As depicted in Fig. 4, wavelet techniques are implemented in the first and last stages. The actual time-series (wind power data) are first decomposed into a number of wavelet coefficient signals and one approximation signal.

The original wind power series is decomposed into four components by the WT and each subseries is separately predicted by the ANFIS. Finally, the predicted signals are recombined in the last stage to form the final predicted wind power series.

- 1) *First step:* Form a matrix with a set of historical wind power data, arranged in C columns of a matrix thereof. Each column of the array has an associated profile of wind power for a 3-hour interval with a time-step of 15 min (12 measured power values). In this first step, the matrix has four columns, corresponding to 12 hours.
- 2) *Second step:* Select a number of columns of the previous array so that the set of values derived from it represents the real input data.

Fig. 4. Structure of the proposed wind power forecast strategy.

3) *Third step:* Decompose the input data using the WT tool available in MATLAB. The operation mode of this process is to decompose the vector with the input data selected. The decomposition is made from the choice of basis functions (wavelet family of functions), and the number of levels wanted to split the series. The signal is divided into three levels, namely, a level of approximation (A) and details (D). Fig. 1 illustrates the decomposition process. The wavelet function used is the Db4 type, which offers a good approach and ability to use a relatively small number of coefficients, making the code faster. Subsequently, in the level of decomposition, the detail series (for high frequencies) obtained is analyzed, so that they make a selection of coefficients in this series. This selection procedure is known as thresholding, because the purpose is to eliminate

TABLE I PARAMETERS OF PSO

Parameters	Value
Number of particles	25
Number of iterations	2000
Cognitive acceleration C_1	2.0
Social acceleration C_2	2.0
Initial inertia weight ω_{min}	0.9
Final inertia weight ω_{max}	0.4

the coefficients smaller than a given value, with the aim of improving signal quality by removing noise. Finally, there is the process of reconstruction of the series (from the series of approximate level with the N series about the modified thresholding process—levels 1 to N).

- 4) *Fourth step:* Get the signal from the Wavelet reorganized so that it can be submitted to the entrance of the ANFIS structure.
- the coefficients smaller than a given value, with the aim of

improving signal quality by removing roise. Frankly, there

is the progass of regerimatelevel the Newick about the mead.

rise of a given interval display pres 5) *Fifth step:* Train the ANFIS with the data from the implementation of the previous step. The ANFIS uses a combination of the least-squares method (to determine consequent parameters) and the backpropagation gradient descent method (to learn the premise parameters). The training process allows the system to adjust its parameters as inputs/outputs submitted. The knowledge acquired through the learning process is tested by applying new data that it has never seen before, called the testing set. The network should be able to generalize and have an accurate output for this unseen data [47]. It is undesirable to overtrain the network, meaning that it would only work well on the training set, and would not generalize well to new data outside the training set. Thus, very large training sets should not be used to avoid overtraining during the learning process. The PSO is used to train the parameters associated with the membership functions of fuzzy inference system. This process allows obtaining more accurate results.
	- 6) *Sixth step:* Create a vector with N -dimension, where N equals the number of membership functions. This vector contains the parameters of membership function and will be optimized by PSO algorithm. The fitness function is defined as the mean squared error.
	- 7) *Seventh step:* Define the parameters associated with the PSO algorithm (Table I). Parameters are initialized randomly in the first stage and then are being updated using the PSO algorithm. In each iteration, one of the parameters of membership function is being updated; i.e., in the first iteration, for example, p_i is updated, then in the second iteration, q_i is updated, and then after updating all parameters again the first parameter update is considered, and so on [48]. These parameters are grouped in a vector that is being updated iteration to iteration. The PSO algorithm used to optimize parameters of membership functions is

described below: i) initialize the population positions and speeds. For each particle, the position and velocity vectors are randomly initialized with the same size as presented by the size of the problem. ii) Assess the ability of the individual particle (*Pbest*). If the value is better than the current value of the individual particle, *Pbest* reset the current position of the particle and update the individual value. If the best of all the particles of individual values is better than the overall value of current *Gbest*, reset the location of the best particles. iii) Measure the fitness of each particle (*Pbest*) and store the particles with the best value of fitness (*Gbest*). iv) Modify the speed based on the position *Pbest* and *Gbest*. v) Update the particles. vi) End if the condition is verified. If the current iteration number reaches the maximum default number or the result reached a minimum error set, then stop the iteration and collect the best solution.

- 8) *Eighth step:* Extract the output of the ANFIS using the parameters found by the PSO.
- 9) *Ninth step:* Use wavelet again to reconstruct the wind power series forecast given by ANFIS. The final output corresponds to the prediction of our hybrid WPA approach.

III. FORECASTING ACCURACY EVALUATION

To evaluate the accuracy in forecasting wind power, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is considered.

The MAPE criterion is defined as follows:

$$
MAPE = \frac{100}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{\bar{p}}
$$

$$
\bar{p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} p_h
$$
 (14)

where \hat{p}_h and p_h are, respectively, the forecasted and actual wind power at period h, \bar{p} is the average wind power of the forecasting horizon, and N is the number of forecasted periods. For daily MAPE, N is equal to 24.

A measure of the uncertainty of a model is the variability of what is still unexplained after fitting the model, which can be measured through the estimation of the variance of the error. The smaller this variance, the more precise the prediction is [37].

Consistent with definition (13), daily error variance can be estimated as

$$
\sigma_{e,\text{day}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^N \left(\frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{\bar{p}} - (e_{\text{day}}) \right)^2 \tag{15}
$$

$$
e_{\text{day}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{\bar{p}}.
$$
 (16)

Additionally, the normalized mean absolute error (NMAE) criterion is taken into account, given by

$$
\text{NMAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{p_{\text{inst}}} \tag{17}
$$

Fig. 5. Wind power profile in Portugal, January 2008, in megawatts.

where p_{inst} is the installed wind power capacity.

IV. RESULTS

The hybrid WPA approach has been applied for the prediction of the whole wind power in Portugal. The numerical results presented take into account the wind farms that have telemetry with the National Electric Grid (REN). Historical wind power data are the only inputs for training the ANFIS. For the sake of clear comparison, no exogenous variables are considered. The wind power profile in Portugal, at January 2008, is shown in Fig. 5.

Les iii) Measure the fininces of each particle

we the particles with the best value of finess.

The current lieration number reaches the

If the current lieration number reaches the

If the current lieration number reach sult reached a minimum
and collect the best solarity of the ANEIS using the

or coconstruct the wind Fig. 5. Wind gower profile in Psyragal, January 2008, in anguswarts.

APSI: The final output Psyragal, Manuary 2008, in Our forecaster predicts the value of the wind power subseries for 3 hours ahead, taking into account the wind power data of the previous 12 hours with a time-step of 15 min (48 measured power values: the first 36 values are used for training, while the last 12 values are used for testing). This procedure is repeated until the next 24 hour values are predicted. The following days are randomly selected: July 3, 2007, October 31, 2007, January 14, 2008, and April 2, 2008, corresponding to the four seasons of the year. Hence, days with particularly good wind power behavior are deliberately not chosen. This results in an uneven accuracy distribution throughout the year that reflects reality.

Numerical results with the hybrid WPA approach are shown in Figs. 6–9, respectively, for the winter, spring, summer, and fall days.

Table II shows a comparison between the hybrid WPA approach and seven other approaches (Persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and WNF), regarding the MAPE criterion.

The proposed approach presents better forecasting accuracy: the MAPE has an average value of 4.98%. Improvement in the average MAPE of the proposed approach with respect to the seven previous approaches is 73.9%, 73.8%, 51.8%, 31.4%, 28.6%, 25.0%, and 16.9%, respectively.

Fig. 6. Winter day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line).

Fig. 7. Spring day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line).

In addition to the MAPE, stability of results is another important factor for the comparison of forecast approaches.

Table III shows a comparison between the hybrid WPA approach and seven other approaches (Persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and WNF) regarding daily error variance.

Note that the average error variance is smaller for the hybrid WPA approach, indicating less uncertainty in the predictions. Improvement in the average error variance of the proposed ap-

Fig. 8. Summer day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line.

Fig. 9. Fall day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line).

proach with respect to the seven previous approaches is 91.0%, 90.9%, 73.8%, 58.8%, 55.3%, 51.1%, and 34.4%, respectively.

Table IV illustrates the performance evaluation by the use of the NMAE criterion, considering the hybrid WPA approach and seven other approaches (Persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and WNF).

The performance in terms of NMAE of the hybrid WPA approach is shown in Fig. 10, for the winter and spring days, and in Fig. 11, for the summer and fall days.

TABLE II COMPARATIVE MAPE RESULTS

	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Average
Persistence	13.89	32.40	13.43	16.49	19.05
NRM	13.87	32.38	13.43	16.43	19.03
ARIMA	10.93	12.05	11.04	7.35	10.34
NN	9.51	9.92	6.34	3.26	7.26
NNWT	9.23	9.55	5.97	3.14	6.97
NF	8.85	8.96	5.63	3.11	6.64
WNF	8.34	7.71	4.81	3.08	5.99
WPA	6.47	6.08	4.31	3.07	4.98

TABLE III DAILY FORECASTING ERROR VARIANCE

Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Average
0.0074	0.0592	0.0085	0.0179	0.0233
0.0074	0.0590	0.0079	0.0180	0.0231
0.0025	0.0164	0.0090	0.0039	0.0080
0.0044	0.0106	0.0043	0.0010	0.0051
0.0055	0.0083	0.0038	0.0012	0.0047
0.0041	0.0086	0.0038	0.00075	0.0043
0.0046	0.0051	0.0021	0.0011	0.0032
0.0021	0.0035	0.0016	0.0011	0.0021

TABLE IV COMPARATIVE NMAE RESULTS

Regarding this NMAE criterion, the hybrid WPA approach experienced an average error representing 2.37% of the installed wind power capacity for its 3-hours ahead predictions, over the whole forecasting horizon.

The four plots of Fig. 12 provide average errors considering NRM, NN, NF, WFN, and the hybrid WPA approach, for the four days analyzed.

In Fig. 12, the 24 hours of each day have been divided into three intervals (1–8, 9–16, and 17–24 hours) to further elucidate

Fig. 10. Performance in terms of NMAE of the hybrid WPA approach, for the winter (\diamondsuit) and spring (\times) days.

Fig. 11. Performance in terms of NMAE of the hybrid WPA approach, for the summer (\circ) and fall $(*)$ days.

the forecast performance of the proposed approach in comparison with the other approaches.

It can be seen that the performance of the proposed approach is generally better. The interval 1–8 hours for the spring day [Fig. 12(b)] is especially hard to predict, due to a sudden increase in wind power noticeable in Fig. 7 around hour 6. The proposed approach presents a much smaller error within this interval, reflecting its enhanced forecasting abilities.

For a more thorough comparison between the different models used, statistically representative results for the year of 2009 are presented thereafter, in Tables V and VI.

Fig. 12. Average errors within three time intervals, considering NRM, NN, NF, WNF, and the hybrid WPA approach, for the days analyzed: (a) Winter, (b) Spring, (c) Summer, and (d) Fall.

TABLE V REPRESENTATIVE MAPE RESULTS FOR THE YEAR OF 2009

Error (% θ		18		9 1 6		17 24			May	5.91	5.76	4.11	3.39	3.04
18				(c)					June	7.86	7.79	5.99	3.04	2.68
9									July	4.05	3.96	3.04	2.57	2.33
θ									August	4.73	4.60	4.05	2.86	2.54
30		18		9 16		17 24			September	4.85	4.79	3.29	2.10	2.03
				(d)					October	5.36	5.31	3.77	2.62	2.29
15									November	7.02	6.90	4.08	5.20	4.97
$\overline{0}$		18		9 1 6		17 24			December	5.54	5.53	3.98	3.29	3.13
				Hours					Average	5.24	5.17	3.87	2.98	2.72
	NF, WNF, and the hybrid WPA approach, for the days analyzed: (a) Winter, (b) Spring, (c) Summer, and (d) Fall. REPRESENTATIVE MAPE RESULTS FOR THE YEAR OF 2009		TABLE V						tions.	is less than 1 min using MATLAB on a PC v and a 2.0-GHz-based processor. Hence, the presents not only better forecasting accuracy, able computation time, which is important fo				
	Persistence	NRM	ARIMA	${\rm NN}$	NNWT	NF	WNF	WPA				V. CONCLUSION		
January	17.44	16.83	16.03	13.62	12.22	10.69	8.16	6.71		A novel hybrid approach was proposed				
February	22.84	22.81	20.56	14.55	12.92	11.68	8.64	7.05		short-term wind power forecasting in Portu				
March	19.70	18.99	13.01	12.04	11.05	8.76	7.51	6.19		approach is based on the combination of particle swarm optimization, and an adapt				
April	22.77	22.53	13.26	9.43	9.19	8.78	7.82	6.57		fuzzy inference system. The application of				
May	17.20	16.78	11.98	9.86	8.85	8.29	6.87	5.94		proach to wind power forecasting is both n				
June	36.70	36.37	27.96	14.18	12.52	11.60	8.85	7.23		The average MAPE and NMAE results outp approaches, while the average computation				
July	21.30	20.86	15.98	13.53	12.28	11.16	8.42	7.06		Hence, the presented results validate the				
August	13.94	13.55	11.94	8.42	7.48	6.18	5.09	4.66		proposed approach in short-term wind powe				
September	24.51	24.20	16.65	10.60	10.28	9.95	8.28	7.33				ACKNOWLEDGMENT		
October	26.45	26.16	18.58	12.92	11.28	10.44	8.67	7.26		The authors would like to thank the anony				
November	17.16	16.88	14.47	12.72	12.15	11.36	8.65	6.99		their valuable comments, which greatly help and improve the contents of the paper.				
December	16.90	16.86	12.14	10.03	9.54	8.98	7.02	5.99						
Average	21.41	21.07	16.05	11.83	10.81	9.82	7.83	6.58				REFERENCES		

Although the MAPE results have slightly worsened for all methodologies, the NMAE results have improved significantly due to the ever-increasing installed wind power capacity. Besides, the hybrid WPA approach still clearly outperforms all other approaches.

The proposed approach is both novel and effective for shortterm prediction of wind power. The average computation time required by the hybrid WPA approach for each forecasted day

TABLE VI REPRESENTATIVE NMAE RESULTS FOR THE YEAR OF 2009

	Persistence	NRM	ARIMA	NN	NNWT	NF	WNF	WPA
January	3.23	3.12	2.97	2.53	2.26	1.98	1.51	1.24
February	8.34	8.37	7.51	5.31	4.71	4.27	3.16	2.58
March	1.91	1.84	1.26	1.17	1.07	0.85	0.73	0.60
April	4.07	4.02	2.37	1.69	1.64	1.57	1.40	1.17
May	5.91	5.76	4.11	3.39	3.04	2.85	2.36	2.04
June	7.86	7.79	5.99	3.04	2.68	2.48	1.89	1.55
July	4.05	3.96	3.04	2.57	2.33	2.12	1.60	1.34
August	4.73	4.60	4.05	2.86	2.54	2.10	1.73	1.58
September	4.85	4.79	3.29	2.10	2.03	1.97	1.64	1.45
October	5.36	5.31	3.77	2.62	2.29	2.12	1.76	1.47
November	7.02	6.90	4.08	5.20	4.97	4.65	3.54	2.86
December	5.54	5.53	3.98	3.29	3.13	2.95	2.30	1.97
Average	5.24	5.17	3.87	2.98	2.72	2.49	1.97	1.65

is less than 1 min using MATLAB on a PC with 1 GB of RAM and a 2.0-GHz-based processor. Hence, the proposed approach presents not only better forecasting accuracy, but also an acceptable computation time, which is important for real-life applications.

V. CONCLUSION

Assumed 4.53 (spanned 4.53 (spanned 4.54) (spanned 4.55 (spanned 3.56 (spanned 3.57 (spanned 3.58 (spanned 3.59 (spanned 3.59 (spanned 3.59 (spanned 3.59 (spanned 3.59 (spanned 3.59 (spanned 3.5 A novel hybrid approach was proposed in this paper for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal. The proposed approach is based on the combination of wavelet transform, particle swarm optimization, and an adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system. The application of the proposed approach to wind power forecasting is both novel and effective. The average MAPE and NMAE results outperform seven other approaches, while the average computation time is acceptable. Hence, the presented results validate the proficiency of the proposed approach in short-term wind power forecasting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments, which greatly helped them to clarify and improve the contents of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Taylor, P. E. McSharry, and R. Buizza, "Wind power density forecasting using ensemble predictions and time series models," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 775–782, Sep. 2009.
- [2] P. Chen, P. Siano, B. Bak-Jensen, and Z. Chen, "Stochastic optimization of wind turbine power factor using stochastic model of wind power," *IEEE Trans. Sust. Energy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 19–29, Apr. 2010.
- [3] R. Melício, V. M. F. Mendes, and J. P. S. Catalão, "Harmonic assessment of variable-speed wind turbines considering a converter control malfunction," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 139–152, Mar. 2010.
- [4] R. B. Rodrigues, V. M. F. Mendes, and J. P. S. Catalão, "Lightning data observed with lightning location system in Portugal," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 870–875, Apr. 2010.
- [5] J. Kabouris and F. D. Kanellos, "Impacts of large-scale wind penetration on designing and operation of electric power systems," *IEEE Trans. Sust. Energy*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 107–114, Jul. 2010.
- [6] B. Ernst, B. Oakleaf, M. L. Ahlstrom, M. Lange, C. Moehrlen, B. Lange, U. Focken, and K. Rohrig, "Predicting the wind," *IEEE Power Energy Mag.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 78–89, Nov./Dec. 2007.
- [7] H. Madsen, P. Pinson, G. Kariniotakis, H. A. Nielsen, and T. S. Nielsen, "Standardizing the performance evaluation of short-term wind power prediction models," *Wind Eng.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 475–489, Dec. 2005.
- [8] H. A. Nielsen, H. Madsen, and T. S. Nielsen, "Using quantile regression to extend an existing wind power forecasting system with probabilistic forecasts," *Wind Energy*, vol. 9, no. 1–2, pp. 95–108, Jan./Apr. 2006.
- [9] P. Pinson, S. Lozano, I. Marti, G. N. Kariniotakis, and G. Giebel, "ViLab: A virtual laboratory for collaborative research on wind power forecasting," *Wind Eng.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 117–122, Mar. 2007.
- [10] N. Cutler, M. Kay, K. Jacka, and T. S. Nielsen, "Detecting, categorizing and forecasting large romps in wind farm power output using meteorological observations and WPPT," *Wind Energy*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 453–470, Sep./Oct. 2007.
- [11] H. Aa Nielsen, T. S. Nielsen, H. Madsen, M. J. S. I. Pindado, and I. Marti, "Optimal combination of wind power forecasts," *Wind Energy*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 471–482, Sep./Oct. 2007.
- 2.200. 1. Mirri, G. N. Kerintonis, and G. K. Griebel,

2.908.

Mirri, G. N. Kerintonis, and G. Griebel,

2.908.

III F. H. W. H. Firstonic Fig. F. F. H. Shellow, and M. N. N. F. F. H. H. Shellow, and M. M. N. T. H. N. H [12] P. Pinson, H. A. Nielsen, J. K. Moller, H. Madsen, and G. N. Kariniotakis, "Non-parametric probabilistic forecasts of wind power: Required properties and evaluation," *Wind Energy*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 497–516, Nov./Dec. 2007.
- [13] A. Costa, A. Crespo, J. Navarro, G. Lizcano, H. Madsen, and E. Feitosa, "A review on the young history of the wind power short-term prediction," *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1725–1744, Aug. 2008.
- [14] M. Lange and U. Focken*, Physical Approach to Short-Term Wind Power Prediction.* Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [15] G. Giebel, The state-of-the-art in short-term prediction of wind power—A literature overview ANEMOS (EU Framework 5), 2003 [Online]. Available: http://anemos.cma.fr/
- [16] L. Landberg, G. Giebel, H. A. Nielsen, T. S. Nielsen, and H. Madsen, "Short-term prediction—An overview," *Wind Energy*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 273–280, Jul./Sep. 2003.
- [17] L. Ma, S. Y. Luan, C. W. Jiang, H. L. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "A review on the forecasting of wind speed and generated power," *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 915–920, May 2009.
- [18] T. H. M. El-Fouly, E. F. El-Saadany, and M. M. A. Salama, "One day ahead prediction of wind speed and direction," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 191–201, Mar. 2008.
- [19] R. G. Kavasseri and K. Seetharaman, "Day-ahead wind speed forecasting using f-ARIMA models," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1388–1393, May 2009.
- [20] T. S. Nielsen, A. Joensen, H. Madsen, L. Landberg, and G. Giebel, "A new reference for wind power forecasting," *Wind Energy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 29–34, Sep. 1998.
- [21] A. Kusiak, H. Y. Zheng, and Z. Song, "Short-term prediction of wind farm power: A data mining approach," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 125–136, Mar. 2009.
- [22] G. N. Kariniotakis, G. S. Stavrakakis, and E. F. Nogaret, "Wind power forecasting using advanced neural network models," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 762–767, Dec. 1996.
- [23] S. Li, D. C. Wunsch, E. A. O'Hair, and M. G. Giesselmann, "Using neural networks to estimate wind turbine power generation," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 276–282, Sep. 2001.
- [24] I. J. Ramirez-Rosado, L. A. Fernandez-Jimenez, C. Monteiro, J. Sousa, and R. Bessa, "Comparison of two new short-term wind-power forecasting systems," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1848–1854, Jul. 2009.
- [25] M. C. Mabel and E. Fernandez, "Estimation of energy yield from wind farms using artificial neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 459–464, Jun. 2009.
- [26] J. P. S. Catalão, H. M. I. Pousinho, and V. M. F. Mendes, "An artificial neural network approach for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal," *Eng. Intell. Syst. Elect. Eng. Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 5–11, Mar. 2009.
- [27] I. G. Damousis, M. C. Alexiadis, J. B. Therocharis, and P. S. Dokopoulos, "A fuzzy model for wind speed prediction and power generation in wind parks using spatial correlation," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 352–361, Jun. 2004.
- [28] C. W. Potter and W. Negnevitsky, "Very short-term wind forecasting for Tasmanian power generation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 965–972, May 2006.
- [29] G. Sideratos and N. D. Hatziargyriou, "An advanced statistical method for wind power forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 258–265, Feb. 2007.
- [30] R. Jursa and K. Rohrig, "Short-term wind power forecasting using evolutionary algorithms for the automated specification of artificial intelligence models," *Int. J. Forecast.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 694–709, Oct./Dec. 2008.
- [31] T. H. M. El-Fouly, E. F. El-Saadany, and M. M. A. Salama, "Improved Grey predictor rolling models for wind power prediction," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 928–937, Nov. 2007.
- [32] S. Fan, J. R. Liao, R. Yokoyama, L. N. Chen, and W. J. Lee, "Forecasting the wind generation using a two-stage network based on meteorological information," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 474–482, Jun. 2009.
- where the external in the same measure of the same state and the same of the same of the same μ and μ and [33] R. J. Bessa, V. Miranda, and J. Gama, "Entropy and correntropy against minimum square error in offline and online three-day ahead wind power forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1657–1666, Nov. 2009.
	- [34] N. Amjady and F. Keynia, "Short-term load forecasting of power systems by combination of wavelet transform and neuro-evolutionary algorithm," *Energy*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 46–57, Jan. 2009.
	- [35] A. J. R. Reis and A. P. A. da Silva, "Feature extraction via multiresolution analysis for short-term load forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 189–198, Feb. 2005.
	- [36] S. Mallat, "A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition—The wavelet representation," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 674–693, Jul. 1989.
	- [37] A. J. Conejo, M. A. Plazas, R. Espínola, and A. B. Molina, "Day-ahead electricity price forecasting using the wavelet transform and ARIMA models," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1035–1042, May 2005.
	- [38] N. Amjady and F. Keynia, "Day ahead price forecasting of electricity markets by a mixed data model and hybrid forecast method," *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 533–546, Nov. 2008.
	- [39] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Networks*, 1995, vol. 4, pp. 1942–1948.
	- [40] Z. A. Bashir and M. E. El-Hawary, "Applying wavelets to short-term load forecasting using PSO-based neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 20–27, Feb. 2009.
	- [41] W. Yu and X. Li, "Fuzzy identification using fuzzy neural networks with stable learning algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 12, pp. 411–420, Jun. 2004.
	- [42] J. S. Heo, K. Y. Lee, and R. Garduno-Ramirez, "Multiobjective control of power plants using particle swarm optimization techniques," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 21, pp. 552–561, Jun. 2006.
	- [43] J. Kennedy, "The behavior of particle," in *Proc. 7th Annu. Conf. Evol. Program.*, 1998, pp. 581–589.
	- [44] Z. Yun, Z. Quan, S. Caixin, L. Shaolan, L. Yuming, and S. Yang, "RBF neural network and ANFIS-based short-term load forecasting approach in real-time price environment," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 853–858, Aug. 2008.
	- [45] J. -S. R. Jang, "ANFIS: Adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man. Cybern.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 665–685, May/Jun. 1993.
	- [46] M. A. Shoorehdeli, M. Teshnehlab, A. K. Sedigh, and M. A. Khanesar, "Identification using ANFIS with intelligent hybrid stable learning algorithm approaches and stability analysis of training methods," *Appl. Soft. Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 833–850, Mar. 2009.
	- [47] C. P. Rodriguez and G. J. Anders, "Energy price forecasting in the Ontario competitive power system market," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 366–374, Feb. 2004.
	- [48] V. S. Ghomsheh, M. A. Shoorehdeli, and M. Teshnehlab, "Training ANFIS structure with modified PSO algorithm," in *Proc. 15th Mediterranean Conf. Control Automation*, Athens, Greece, Jul. 2007.

J. P. S. Catalão (M'04) received the M.Sc. degree from the Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon, Portugal, in 2003 and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Beira Interior, Covilha, Portugal, in 2007.

He is currently an Assistant Professor at the University of Beira Interior. He has authored or coauthored more than 110 technical papers.

Dr. Catalão is an Associate Editor for the *International Journal of Power and Energy Systems*, and a Member of the Editorial Board of *Electric Power*

Components & *Systems*. Also, he is a reviewer for IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, and other IEEE and International Journals.

V. M. F. Mendes received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from the Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon, Portugal, in 1987 and 1994, respectively.

He is currently a Coordinator Professor with Aggregation at the Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal.

IEEE Web Version **H. M. I. Pousinho** (A'09) received the M.Sc. degree from the University of Beira Interior, Covilha, Portugal, in 2009. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree at the University of Beira Interior, in collaboration with the Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal.

Hybrid Wavelet-PSO-ANFIS Approach for Short-Term Wind Power Forecasting in Portugal

J. P. S. Catalão*, Member, IEEE*, H. M. I. Pousinho*, Associate Member, IEEE*, and V. M. F. Mendes

*Abstract—***The increased integration of wind power into the electric grid, as it occurs today in Portugal, poses new challenges due to its intermittency and volatility. Wind power forecasting plays a key role in tackling these challenges. A novel hybrid approach, combining wavelet transform, particle swarm optimization, and an adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system, is proposed in this paper for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal. A thorough comparison is carried out, taking into account the results obtained with seven other approaches. Finally, conclusions are duly drawn.**

*Index Terms—***Forecasting, fuzzy logic, neural networks, swarm optimization, wavelet transform, wind power.**

I. INTRODUCTION

WIND generation is the fastest growing source of renewable energy [1], [2]. In Portugal, the wind power goal foreseen for 2010 was established by the government as 3750 MW, representing about 25% of the total installed capacity in 2010. This value has been raised to 5100 MW by the most recent governmental goals for the wind sector. Hence, Portugal has one of the most ambitious goals in terms of wind power [3], [4], and in 2006 was the second country in Europe with the highest wind power growth.

The wind energy is free, so all wind-generated electric energy is accepted as it comes, i.e., as it is available. However, the availability of the power supply generated from wind energy is not known in advance. Hence, the integration of a large share of wind power in an electricity system leads to some important challenges [5]. Wind power forecasting plays a key role in tackling these challenges [6].

Wind power prediction is a primary requirement for efficient large-scale integration of wind generation in power systems and electricity markets [7]–[12]. The time scales concerning shortterm prediction are in the order of some days (for the forecast horizon) and from minutes to hours (for the time-step) [13].

In the technical literature, several methods to predict wind power have been reported, namely physical [14] and statistical

Manuscript received February 02, 2010; revised July 02, 2010; accepted August 30, 2010. Date of publication September 13, 2010. The work of H. M. I. Pousinho was supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) under Ph.D. grant (SFRH/BD/62965/2009).

V. M. F. Mendes is with the Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon *[Please provide postal code]*, Portugal.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TSTE.2010.2076359

methods. A comprehensive report on the state-of-the-art in short-term prediction of wind power can be found in [15].

to the portugal, passes to challenge due to the physical method is the phase of the phase of the phase of the phase of the phase challenge. A anoth hydd approach, the physical method requires a lot of phase challenge, a m The physical method requires a lot of physical considerations to reach the best prediction precision. Supercomputers are usually required to run numerical weather prediction (NWP) models [16]. For a physical model, the input variables will be the physical or meteorology information, such as description of orography, roughness, obstacles, pressure, and temperature. The statistical method aims at finding the relationship of the on-line measured power data. For a statistical model, the historical wind power data may be used. The physical method has advantages in long-term prediction while the statistical method does well in short-term prediction [17].

and second the results of the physical or melanning yinformation, such as description of the physical or melanning information and a had the physical properties of the physical melanomeans and the statistical melanomeans The conventional statistical models are time-series-based models [18], including autoregressive (AR), and autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) [19] models. The persistence models are considered as the simplest time-series models, but they can surpass many other models in very short-term prediction [17]. Particularly, persistence beats the NWP-based model for short prediction horizons (ca 3–6 hours) [15]. The persistence model is a useful first approximation for short-term wind power forecasting. A new reference model (NRM) was proposed in [20], which can be used instead of the persistence model.

Some new methods are catching researcher's attention, namely data mining [21], neural networks (NNs) [22]–[26], fuzzy logic and neuro-fuzzy (NF) [27]–[29], evolutionary algorithms [30], and some hybrid methods [31]–[33]. The accurate comparison of all the methods is quite difficult because these methods depend on different situations and the data collection is a formidable task. However, it has been reported that artificial intelligence methods outperformed others in short-term prediction [17].

In this paper, a novel hybrid approach is proposed for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal. The proposed approach is based on the combination of wavelet transform (WT), particle swarm optimization (PSO), and adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system (ANFIS). Our hybrid WPA approach is compared with persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and wavelet-neuro-fuzzy (WNF) approaches, to demonstrate its effectiveness regarding forecasting accuracy and computation time.

The contributions of this paper are threefold:

- 1) to propose a novel hybrid approach for short-term wind power forecasting;
- 2) to improve forecasting accuracy, taking into account the results obtained with seven other approaches;

J. P. S. Catalão and H. M. I. Pousinho are with the University of Beira Interior, Covilha, Portugal, and the Center for Innovation in Electrical and Energy Engineering, IST, Lisbon *[Please provide postal code]*, Portugal (e-mail: catalao@ubi.pt).

3) to offer a practical solution in terms of computational burden.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the proposed approach to forecast wind power. Section III provides the different criterions used to evaluate the forecasting accuracy. Section IV provides the numerical results from a real-world case study. Section V outlines the conclusions.

II. PROPOSED APPROACH

orach is based on the combination of WT,

NEW The WT is used to decompose the wind power

ectic decompose the wind power series are forecasted using

secondition to consider a lower series and the messence of ANFIS,

such The proposed approach is based on the combination of WT, PSO, and ANFIS. The WT is used to decompose the wind power series into a set of better-behaved constitutive series. Then, the future values of these constitutive series are forecasted using ANFIS. The PSO is used to improve the performance of ANFIS, tuning the membership functions required to achieve a lower error. Finally, the ANFIS forecasts allow, through the inverse WT, reconstructing the future behavior of the wind power series, and therefore, to forecast wind power.

A. Wavelet Transform

The WT convert a wind power series in a set of constitutive series. These constitutive series present a better behavior than the original wind power series, and therefore, they can be predicted more accurately. The reason for the better behavior of the constitutive series is the filtering effect of the WT.

WTs can be divided in two categories: continuous wavelet transform (CWT) and discrete wavelet transform (DWT). The CWT $W(a, b)$ of signal $f(x)$ with respect to a mother wavelet $\phi(x)$ is given by [34]

$$
W(a,b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)\phi\left(\frac{x-b}{a}\right)dx \tag{1}
$$

where the scale parameter a controls the spread of the wavelet and translation parameter b determines its central position. DWT is more efficient and just as accurate as the CWT [35]. DWT is defined as

$$
W(m,n) = 2^{-(m/2)} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} f(t) \phi\left(\frac{t-n2^m}{2^m}\right)
$$
 (2)

where T is the length of the signal $f(t)$. The scaling and translation parameters are functions of the integer variables m and $n(a=2^m, b=n2^m);$ is the discrete time index.

A fast DWT algorithm based on the four filters (decomposition low-pass, decomposition high-pass, reconstruction lowpass, and reconstruction high-pass filters) was developed by Mallat [36].

Multiresolution via Mallat's algorithm is a procedure to obtain "approximations" and "details" from a given signal. By successive decomposition of the approximations (Fig. 1), a multilevel decomposition process can be achieved where the original signal is broken down into lower resolution components.

A wavelet function of type Daubechies of order 4 (abbreviated as Db4) is used as the mother wavelet $\phi(t)$. This wavelet offers an appropriate trade-off between wavelength and smoothness, resulting in an appropriate behavior for short-term wind power forecasting. Similar wavelets have been considered by previous researchers for load forecasting [34], [35] and price

Fig. 1. Multilevel decomposition process.

forecasting [37], [38]. Also, three decomposition levels are considered, as in [38], since it describes the wind power series in a thorough and meaningful way.

B. Particle Swarm Optimization

PSO is a heuristic approach first proposed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [39] as an evolutionary computational method. The PSO algorithm is based on the biological and sociological behavior of animals searching for their food [40].

Empirical evidence has been accumulated to show that the algorithm is a useful tool for optimization [41]. PSO has been applied to many optimization problems in engineering, for instance [42].

Consider an optimization problem of D variables. A swarm of N particles is initialized in which each particle is assigned a random position in the D-dimensional hyperspace. Let x denote a particle's position and v denote the particle's flight velocity over a solution space.

The best previous position of a particle is *Pbest*. The index of the best particle among all particles in the swarm is *Gbest*. Velocity and position of a particle are updated by the following update rules:

$$
v_i(t) = \omega v_i(t-1) + \rho_1 \frac{(x_{\text{Pbest}_i} - x_i(t))}{\Delta t}
$$

$$
+ \rho_2 \frac{(x_{\text{Gbest}} - x_i(t))}{\Delta t}
$$
(3)

$$
x_i(t) = x_i(t-1) + v_i(t)\Delta t \tag{4}
$$

pived to achieve a lower lower and the
animal pive and the state of the state in the state in the state of the
state in the state of the state in the state in the state of the state in
the state of the state in the state where ω is an inertia weight, Δt is the time-step value, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are random variables defined as $\rho_1 = r_1 C_1$ and $\rho_2 = r_2 C_2$, with $r_1, r_2 \sim U(0, 1)$, and C_1 and C_2 are positive acceleration constants. The time-step is necessary to make the algorithm dimensionally correct. Also, constants C_1 and C_2 are both set at 2.0, following the typical practice in [43].

Fig. 2 illustrates a search mechanism of a PSO technique using the velocity update rule (3) and the position update rule (4).

An inertia correction function called "inertia weight approach (IWA)" is also used in this work [43]. During the IWA, the inertia weight ω is modified according to the following equation:

$$
\omega = \omega_{\text{max}} - \frac{\omega_{\text{max}} - \omega_{\text{min}}}{\text{It}_{\text{max}}} \text{Itr}
$$
 (5)

Fig. 2. Updating the position mechanism of PSO.

Fig. 3. ANFIS architecture.

where ω_{max} and ω_{min} are the initial and final inertia weights, I t r_{max} is the maximum number of iteration, and Itr is the current number of iteration.

C. ANFIS

ANFIS is a class of adaptive multilayer feedforward networks, applied to nonlinear forecasting where past samples are used to forecast the sample ahead. ANFIS incorporates the self-learning ability of NN with the linguistic expression function of fuzzy inference [44].

The ANFIS architecture is shown in Fig. 3. The ANFIS network is composed of five layers. Each layer contains several nodes described by the node function. Let O_i^j denote the output of the *i*th node in layer i .

In layer 1, every node i is an adaptive node with node function

$$
O_i^1 = \mu A_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{6}
$$

$$
\alpha
$$

$$
O_i^1 = \mu B_{i-2}(y), \quad i = 3, 4 \tag{7}
$$

where x (or y) is the input to the *i*th node and A_i (or B_{i-2}) is a linguistic label associated with this node. The membership functions for A and B are usually described by generalized bell functions, e.g.,

$$
\mu A_i(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left|\frac{x - r_i}{p_i}\right|^{2q_i}}
$$
\n(8)

where $\{p_i, q_i, r_i\}$ is the parameter set. Any continuous and piecewise differentiable functions, such as triangular-shaped membership functions, are also qualified candidates for node functions in this layer [45]. Parameters in this layer are referred to as premise parameters.

In layer 2, each node \prod multiplies incoming signals and sends the product out

$$
O_i^2 = w_i = \mu A_i(x) \mu B_i(y), \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{9}
$$

Each node output represents the firing strength of a rule.

In layer 3, each node N computes the ratio of the *i*th rules's firing strength to the sum of all rules' firing strengths

$$
O_i^3 = \bar{w}_i = \frac{w_i}{w_1 + w_2}, \quad i = 1, 2.
$$
 (10)

The outputs of this layer are called normalized firing strengths.

In layer 4, each node computes the contribution of the i th rule to the overall output

$$
O_i^4 = \bar{w_i} z_i = \bar{w_i} (a_i x + b_i y + c_i), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (11)
$$

where \bar{w}_i is the output of layer 3 and $\{a_i, b_i, c_i\}$ is the parameter set. Parameters of this layer are referred to as consequent parameters.

In layer 5, the single node Σ computes the final output as the summation of all incoming signals

$$
O_i^5 = \sum_i \bar{w}_i z_i = \frac{\sum_i w_i z_i}{\sum_i w_i}.
$$
 (12)

Thus, an adaptive network is functionally equivalent to a Sugeno-type fuzzy inference system.

In this paper, ANFIS employs the PSO method to adjust the parameters of the membership functions, as in [46]. The PSO techniques have the advantage of being less computationally expensive for a given size of network topology. The membership functions considered in this study are triangular-shaped.

D. Hybrid Approach

In this section, the algorithm used to implement the proposed approach is described step-by-step.

As depicted in Fig. 4, wavelet techniques are implemented in the first and last stages. The actual time-series (wind power data) are first decomposed into a number of wavelet coefficient signals and one approximation signal.

The original wind power series is decomposed into four components by the WT and each subseries is separately predicted by the ANFIS. Finally, the predicted signals are recombined in the last stage to form the final predicted wind power series.

- So, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{$ 1) *First step:* Form a matrix with a set of historical wind power data, arranged in C columns of a matrix thereof. Each column of the array has an associated profile of wind power for a 3-hour interval with a time-step of 15 min (12 measured power values). In this first step, the matrix has four columns, corresponding to 12 hours.
	- 2) *Second step:* Select a number of columns of the previous array so that the set of values derived from it represents the real input data.

Fig. 4. Structure of the proposed wind power forecast strategy.

3) *Third step:* Decompose the input data using the WT tool available in MATLAB. The operation mode of this process is to decompose the vector with the input data selected. The decomposition is made from the choice of basis functions (wavelet family of functions), and the number of levels wanted to split the series. The signal is divided into three levels, namely, a level of approximation (A) and details (D). Fig. 1 illustrates the decomposition process. The wavelet function used is the Db4 type, which offers a good approach and ability to use a relatively small number of coefficients, making the code faster. Subsequently, in the level of decomposition, the detail series (for high frequencies) obtained is analyzed, so that they make a selection of coefficients in this series. This selection procedure is known as thresholding, because the purpose is to eliminate

TABLE I PARAMETERS OF PSO

Parameters	Value
Number of particles	25
Number of iterations	2000
Cognitive acceleration C_1	2.0
Social acceleration C_2	2.0
Initial inertia weight ω_{min}	0.9
Final inertia weight ω_{max}	0.4

the coefficients smaller than a given value, with the aim of improving signal quality by removing noise. Finally, there is the process of reconstruction of the series (from the series of approximate level with the N series about the modified thresholding process—levels 1 to N).

- 4) *Fourth step:* Get the signal from the Wavelet reorganized so that it can be submitted to the entrance of the ANFIS structure.
- The coefficients smaller than given value, with the aim of

its the process of regronstration of the series (from the series

resort and process of regrons and coulty by ennoting poises. Finally, the

resort and process o 5) *Fifth step:* Train the ANFIS with the data from the implementation of the previous step. The ANFIS uses a combination of the least-squares method (to determine consequent parameters) and the backpropagation gradient descent method (to learn the premise parameters). The training process allows the system to adjust its parameters as inputs/outputs submitted. The knowledge acquired through the learning process is tested by applying new data that it has never seen before, called the testing set. The network should be able to generalize and have an accurate output for this unseen data [47]. It is undesirable to overtrain the network, meaning that it would only work well on the training set, and would not generalize well to new data outside the training set. Thus, very large training sets should not be used to avoid overtraining during the learning process. The PSO is used to train the parameters associated with the membership functions of fuzzy inference system. This process allows obtaining more accurate results.
	- 6) *Sixth step:* Create a vector with N -dimension, where N equals the number of membership functions. This vector contains the parameters of membership function and will be optimized by PSO algorithm. The fitness function is defined as the mean squared error.
	- *Seventh step:* Define the parameters associated with the PSO algorithm (Table I). Parameters are initialized randomly in the first stage and then are being updated using the PSO algorithm. In each iteration, one of the parameters of membership function is being updated; i.e., in the first iteration, for example, p_i is updated, then in the second iteration, q_i is updated, and then after updating all parameters again the first parameter update is considered, and so on [48]. These parameters are grouped in a vector that is being updated iteration to iteration. The PSO algorithm used to optimize parameters of membership functions is

described below: i) initialize the population positions and speeds. For each particle, the position and velocity vectors are randomly initialized with the same size as presented by the size of the problem. ii) Assess the ability of the individual particle (*Pbest*). If the value is better than the current value of the individual particle, *Pbest* reset the current position of the particle and update the individual value. If the best of all the particles of individual values is better than the overall value of current *Gbest*, reset the location of the best particles. iii) Measure the fitness of each particle (*Pbest*) and store the particles with the best value of fitness (*Gbest*). iv) Modify the speed based on the position *Pbest* and *Gbest*. v) Update the particles. vi) End if the condition is verified. If the current iteration number reaches the maximum default number or the result reached a minimum error set, then stop the iteration and collect the best solution.

- 8) *Eighth step:* Extract the output of the ANFIS using the parameters found by the PSO.
- 9) *Ninth step:* Use wavelet again to reconstruct the wind power series forecast given by ANFIS. The final output corresponds to the prediction of our hybrid WPA approach.

III. FORECASTING ACCURACY EVALUATION

To evaluate the accuracy in forecasting wind power, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is considered.

The MAPE criterion is defined as follows:

$$
MAPE = \frac{100}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{\bar{p}}
$$

$$
\bar{p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} p_h
$$
 (14)

where \hat{p}_h and p_h are, respectively, the forecasted and actual wind power at period h, \bar{p} is the average wind power of the forecasting horizon, and N is the number of forecasted periods. For daily MAPE, N is equal to 24.

A measure of the uncertainty of a model is the variability of what is still unexplained after fitting the model, which can be measured through the estimation of the variance of the error. The smaller this variance, the more precise the prediction is [37].

Consistent with definition (13), daily error variance can be estimated as

$$
\sigma_{e,\text{day}}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^N \left(\frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{\bar{p}} - (e_{\text{day}}) \right)^2 \tag{15}
$$

$$
e_{\rm day} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{\bar{p}}.
$$
 (16)

Additionally, the normalized mean absolute error (NMAE) criterion is taken into account, given by

$$
\text{NMAE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \frac{|\hat{p}_h - p_h|}{p_{\text{inst}}} \tag{17}
$$

Fig. 5. Wind power profile in Portugal, January 2008, in megawatts.

where p_{inst} is the installed wind power capacity.

IV. RESULTS

The hybrid WPA approach has been applied for the prediction of the whole wind power in Portugal. The numerical results presented take into account the wind farms that have telemetry with the National Electric Grid (REN). Historical wind power data are the only inputs for training the ANFIS. For the sake of clear comparison, no exogenous variables are considered. The wind power profile in Portugal, at January 2008, is shown in Fig. 5.

Les iii) Measure the fininces of each particle

we the particles with the best value of finess $\frac{3}{2}$

If the current lieration number reaches the

If the current lieration number reaches the

If the current lieration result reached a minimum

of the ANFIS using the

of coronator the wind Fig. 5. Wind gover profits in Poragal, January 2008, in one

and other the prints Version of the SN-10 and output of the minimum of

ANFIS using the
 Our forecaster predicts the value of the wind power subseries for 3 hours ahead, taking into account the wind power data of the previous 12 hours with a time-step of 15 min (48 measured power values: the first 36 values are used for training, while the last 12 values are used for testing). This procedure is repeated until the next 24 hour values are predicted. The following days are randomly selected: July 3, 2007, October 31, 2007, January 14, 2008, and April 2, 2008, corresponding to the four seasons of the year. Hence, days with particularly good wind power behavior are deliberately not chosen. This results in an uneven accuracy distribution throughout the year that reflects reality.

Numerical results with the hybrid WPA approach are shown in Figs. 6–9, respectively, for the winter, spring, summer, and fall days.

Table II shows a comparison between the hybrid WPA approach and seven other approaches (Persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and WNF), regarding the MAPE criterion.

The proposed approach presents better forecasting accuracy: the MAPE has an average value of 4.98%. Improvement in the average MAPE of the proposed approach with respect to the seven previous approaches is 73.9%, 73.8%, 51.8%, 31.4%, 28.6%, 25.0%, and 16.9%, respectively.

Fig. 6. Winter day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line).

Fig. 7. Spring day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line).

In addition to the MAPE, stability of results is another important factor for the comparison of forecast approaches.

Table III shows a comparison between the hybrid WPA approach and seven other approaches (Persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and WNF) regarding daily error variance.

Note that the average error variance is smaller for the hybrid WPA approach, indicating less uncertainty in the predictions. Improvement in the average error variance of the proposed ap-

Fig. 8. Summer day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line.

Fig. 9. Fall day: actual wind power (gray line) together with the forecasted wind power (black line), in megawatts; absolute value of forecast errors (bottom, blue line).

proach with respect to the seven previous approaches is 91.0%, 90.9%, 73.8%, 58.8%, 55.3%, 51.1%, and 34.4%, respectively.

Table IV illustrates the performance evaluation by the use of the NMAE criterion, considering the hybrid WPA approach and seven other approaches (Persistence, NRM, ARIMA, NN, NNWT, NF, and WNF).

The performance in terms of NMAE of the hybrid WPA approach is shown in Fig. 10, for the winter and spring days, and in Fig. 11, for the summer and fall days.

TABLE II COMPARATIVE MAPE RESULTS

	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Average
Persistence	13.89	32.40	13.43	16.49	19.05
NRM	13.87	32.38	13.43	16.43	19.03
ARIMA	10.93	12.05	11.04	7.35	10.34
NN	9.51	9.92	6.34	3.26	7.26
NNWT	9.23	9.55	5.97	3.14	6.97
NF	8.85	8.96	5.63	3.11	6.64
WNF	8.34	7.71	4.81	3.08	5.99
WPA	6.47	6.08	4.31	3.07	4.98

TABLE III DAILY FORECASTING ERROR VARIANCE

Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Average
0.0074	0.0592	0.0085	0.0179	0.0233
0.0074	0.0590	0.0079	0.0180	0.0231
0.0025	0.0164	0.0090	0.0039	0.0080
0.0044	0.0106	0.0043	0.0010	0.0051
0.0055	0.0083	0.0038	0.0012	0.0047
0.0041	0.0086	0.0038	0.00075	0.0043
0.0046	0.0051	0.0021	0.0011	0.0032
0.0021	0.0035	0.0016	0.0011	0.0021

TABLE IV COMPARATIVE NMAE RESULTS

Regarding this NMAE criterion, the hybrid WPA approach experienced an average error representing 2.37% of the installed wind power capacity for its 3-hours ahead predictions, over the whole forecasting horizon.

The four plots of Fig. 12 provide average errors considering NRM, NN, NF, WFN, and the hybrid WPA approach, for the four days analyzed.

In Fig. 12, the 24 hours of each day have been divided into three intervals (1–8, 9–16, and 17–24 hours) to further elucidate

Fig. 10. Performance in terms of NMAE of the hybrid WPA approach, for the winter (\diamondsuit) and spring (\times) days.

Fig. 11. Performance in terms of NMAE of the hybrid WPA approach, for the summer (o) and fall $(*)$ days.

the forecast performance of the proposed approach in comparison with the other approaches.

It can be seen that the performance of the proposed approach is generally better. The interval 1–8 hours for the spring day [Fig. 12(b)] is especially hard to predict, due to a sudden increase in wind power noticeable in Fig. 7 around hour 6. The proposed approach presents a much smaller error within this interval, reflecting its enhanced forecasting abilities.

For a more thorough comparison between the different models used, statistically representative results for the year of 2009 are presented thereafter, in Tables V and VI.

Fig. 12. Average errors within three time intervals, considering NRM, NN, NF, WNF, and the hybrid WPA approach, for the days analyzed: (a) Winter, (b) Spring, (c) Summer, and (d) Fall.

TABLE V REPRESENTATIVE MAPE RESULTS FOR THE YEAR OF 2009

θ														
Error (% 18		18		9 1 6		17 24			May	5.91	5.76	4.11	3.39	3.04
				(c)					June	7.86	7.79	5.99	3.04	2.68
9									July	4.05	3.96	3.04	2.57	2.33
θ									August	4.73	4.60	4.05	2.86	2.54
30		18		9 16		17 24			September	4.85	4.79	3.29	2.10	2.03
				(d)					October	5.36	5.31	3.77	2.62	2.29
15									November	7.02	6.90	4.08	5.20	4.97
$\overline{0}$		18		9 16		17 24			December	5.54	5.53	3.98	3.29	3.13
				Hours					Average	5.24	5.17	3.87	2.98	2.72
	NF, WNF, and the hybrid WPA approach, for the days analyzed: (a) Winter, (b) Spring, (c) Summer, and (d) Fall. REPRESENTATIVE MAPE RESULTS FOR THE YEAR OF 2009		TABLE V						tions.	is less than 1 min using MATLAB on a PC v and a 2.0-GHz-based processor. Hence, the presents not only better forecasting accuracy, able computation time, which is important fo				
	Persistence	NRM	ARIMA	${\rm NN}$	NNWT	NF	WNF	WPA				V. CONCLUSION		
January	17.44	16.83	16.03	13.62	12.22	10.69	8.16	6.71		A novel hybrid approach was proposed				
February	22.84	22.81	20.56	14.55	12.92	11.68	8.64	7.05		short-term wind power forecasting in Portu				
March	19.70	18.99	13.01	12.04	11.05	8.76	7.51	6.19		approach is based on the combination of particle swarm optimization, and an adapt				
April	22.77	22.53	13.26	9.43	9.19	8.78	7.82	6.57		fuzzy inference system. The application of				
May	17.20	16.78	11.98	9.86	8.85	8.29	6.87	5.94		proach to wind power forecasting is both n				
June	36.70	36.37	27.96	14.18	12.52	11.60	8.85	7.23		The average MAPE and NMAE results outp approaches, while the average computation				
July	21.30	20.86	15.98	13.53	12.28	11.16	8.42	7.06		Hence, the presented results validate the				
August	13.94	13.55	11.94	8.42	7.48	6.18	5.09	4.66		proposed approach in short-term wind powe				
September	24.51	24.20	16.65	10.60	10.28	9.95	8.28	7.33				ACKNOWLEDGMENT		
October	26.45	26.16	18.58	12.92	11.28	10.44	8.67	7.26		The authors would like to thank the anony				
November	17.16	16.88	14.47	12.72	12.15	11.36	8.65	6.99		their valuable comments, which greatly help				
December	16.90	16.86	12.14	10.03	9.54	8.98	7.02	5.99		and improve the contents of the paper.				
Average	21.41	21.07	16.05	11.83	10.81	9.82	7.83	6.58				REFERENCES		

Although the MAPE results have slightly worsened for all methodologies, the NMAE results have improved significantly due to the ever-increasing installed wind power capacity. Besides, the hybrid WPA approach still clearly outperforms all other approaches.

The proposed approach is both novel and effective for shortterm prediction of wind power. The average computation time required by the hybrid WPA approach for each forecasted day

TABLE VI REPRESENTATIVE NMAE RESULTS FOR THE YEAR OF 2009

	Persistence	NRM	ARIMA	NN	NNWT	NF	WNF	WPA
January	3.23	3.12	2.97	2.53	2.26	1.98	1.51	1.24
February	8.34	8.37	7.51	5.31	4.71	4.27	3.16	2.58
March	1.91	1.84	1.26	1.17	1.07	0.85	0.73	0.60
April	4.07	4.02	2.37	1.69	1.64	1.57	1.40	1.17
May	5.91	5.76	4.11	3.39	3.04	2.85	2.36	2.04
June	7.86	7.79	5.99	3.04	2.68	2.48	1.89	1.55
July	4.05	3.96	3.04	2.57	2.33	2.12	1.60	1.34
August	4.73	4.60	4.05	2.86	2.54	2.10	1.73	1.58
September	4.85	4.79	3.29	2.10	2.03	1.97	1.64	1.45
October	5.36	5.31	3.77	2.62	2.29	2.12	1.76	1.47
November	7.02	6.90	4.08	5.20	4.97	4.65	3.54	2.86
December	5.54	5.53	3.98	3.29	3.13	2.95	2.30	1.97
Average	5.24	5.17	3.87	2.98	2.72	2.49	1.97	1.65

is less than 1 min using MATLAB on a PC with 1 GB of RAM and a 2.0-GHz-based processor. Hence, the proposed approach presents not only better forecasting accuracy, but also an acceptable computation time, which is important for real-life applications.

V. CONCLUSION

1724

Figure 3.58 ($\frac{3.000}{2.000}$ $\frac{4.000}{2.000}$ $\frac{$ A novel hybrid approach was proposed in this paper for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal. The proposed approach is based on the combination of wavelet transform, particle swarm optimization, and an adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system. The application of the proposed approach to wind power forecasting is both novel and effective. The average MAPE and NMAE results outperform seven other approaches, while the average computation time is acceptable. Hence, the presented results validate the proficiency of the proposed approach in short-term wind power forecasting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments, which greatly helped them to clarify and improve the contents of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Taylor, P. E. McSharry, and R. Buizza, "Wind power density forecasting using ensemble predictions and time series models," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 775–782, Sep. 2009.
- [2] P. Chen, P. Siano, B. Bak-Jensen, and Z. Chen, "Stochastic optimization of wind turbine power factor using stochastic model of wind power," *IEEE Trans. Sust. Energy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 19–29, Apr. 2010.
- [3] R. Melício, V. M. F. Mendes, and J. P. S. Catalão, "Harmonic assessment of variable-speed wind turbines considering a converter control malfunction," *IET Renew. Power Gener.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 139–152, Mar. 2010.
- [4] R. B. Rodrigues, V. M. F. Mendes, and J. P. S. Catalão, "Lightning data observed with lightning location system in Portugal," *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 870–875, Apr. 2010.
- [5] J. Kabouris and F. D. Kanellos, "Impacts of large-scale wind penetration on designing and operation of electric power systems," *IEEE Trans. Sust. Energy*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 107–114, Jul. 2010.
- [6] B. Ernst, B. Oakleaf, M. L. Ahlstrom, M. Lange, C. Moehrlen, B. Lange, U. Focken, and K. Rohrig, "Predicting the wind," *IEEE Power Energy Mag.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 78–89, Nov./Dec. 2007.
- [7] H. Madsen, P. Pinson, G. Kariniotakis, H. A. Nielsen, and T. S. Nielsen, "Standardizing the performance evaluation of short-term wind power prediction models," *Wind Eng.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 475–489, Dec. 2005.
- [8] H. A. Nielsen, H. Madsen, and T. S. Nielsen, "Using quantile regression to extend an existing wind power forecasting system with probabilistic forecasts," *Wind Energy*, vol. 9, no. 1–2, pp. 95–108, Jan./Apr. 2006.
- [9] P. Pinson, S. Lozano, I. Marti, G. N. Kariniotakis, and G. Giebel, "ViLab: A virtual laboratory for collaborative research on wind power forecasting," *Wind Eng.*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 117–122, Mar. 2007.
- [10] N. Cutler, M. Kay, K. Jacka, and T. S. Nielsen, "Detecting, categorizing and forecasting large romps in wind farm power output using meteorological observations and WPPT," *Wind Energy*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 453–470, Sep./Oct. 2007.
- [11] H. Aa Nielsen, T. S. Nielsen, H. Madsen, M. J. S. I. Pindado, and I. Marti, "Optimal combination of wind power forecasts," *Wind Energy*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 471–482, Sep./Oct. 2007.
- [12] P. Pinson, H. A. Nielsen, J. K. Moller, H. Madsen, and G. N. Kariniotakis, "Non-parametric probabilistic forecasts of wind power: Required properties and evaluation," *Wind Energy*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 497–516, Nov./Dec. 2007.
- [13] A. Costa, A. Crespo, J. Navarro, G. Lizcano, H. Madsen, and E. Feitosa, "A review on the young history of the wind power short-term prediction," *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1725–1744, Aug. 2008.
- [14] M. Lange and U. Focken*, Physical Approach to Short-Term Wind Power Prediction.* Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [15] G. Giebel, The state-of-the-art in short-term prediction of wind power—A literature overview ANEMOS (EU Framework 5), 2003 [Online]. Available: http://anemos.cma.fr/
- [16] L. Landberg, G. Giebel, H. A. Nielsen, T. S. Nielsen, and H. Madsen, "Short-term prediction—An overview," *Wind Energy*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 273–280, Jul./Sep. 2003.
- [17] L. Ma, S. Y. Luan, C. W. Jiang, H. L. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "A review on the forecasting of wind speed and generated power," *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 915–920, May 2009.
- [18] T. H. M. El-Fouly, E. F. El-Saadany, and M. M. A. Salama, "One day ahead prediction of wind speed and direction," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 191–201, Mar. 2008.
- [19] R. G. Kavasseri and K. Seetharaman, "Day-ahead wind speed forecasting using f-ARIMA models," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1388–1393, May 2009.
- [20] T. S. Nielsen, A. Joensen, H. Madsen, L. Landberg, and G. Giebel, "A new reference for wind power forecasting," *Wind Energy*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 29–34, Sep. 1998.
- [21] A. Kusiak, H. Y. Zheng, and Z. Song, "Short-term prediction of wind farm power: A data mining approach," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 125–136, Mar. 2009.
- [22] G. N. Kariniotakis, G. S. Stavrakakis, and E. F. Nogaret, "Wind power forecasting using advanced neural network models," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 762–767, Dec. 1996.
- [23] S. Li, D. C. Wunsch, E. A. O'Hair, and M. G. Giesselmann, "Using neural networks to estimate wind turbine power generation," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 276–282, Sep. 2001.
- [24] I. J. Ramirez-Rosado, L. A. Fernandez-Jimenez, C. Monteiro, J. Sousa, and R. Bessa, "Comparison of two new short-term wind-power forecasting systems," *Renew. Energy*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1848–1854, Jul. 2009.
- [25] M. C. Mabel and E. Fernandez, "Estimation of energy yield from wind farms using artificial neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 459–464, Jun. 2009.
- [26] J. P. S. Catalão, H. M. I. Pousinho, and V. M. F. Mendes, "An artificial neural network approach for short-term wind power forecasting in Portugal," *Eng. Intell. Syst. Elect. Eng. Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 5–11, Mar. 2009.
- [27] I. G. Damousis, M. C. Alexiadis, J. B. Therocharis, and P. S. Dokopoulos, "A fuzzy model for wind speed prediction and power generation in wind parks using spatial correlation," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 352–361, Jun. 2004.
- [28] C. W. Potter and W. Negnevitsky, "Very short-term wind forecasting for Tasmanian power generation," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 965–972, May 2006.
- [29] G. Sideratos and N. D. Hatziargyriou, "An advanced statistical method for wind power forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 258–265, Feb. 2007.
- [30] R. Jursa and K. Rohrig, "Short-term wind power forecasting using evolutionary algorithms for the automated specification of artificial intelligence models," *Int. J. Forecast.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 694–709, Oct./Dec. 2008.
- [31] T. H. M. El-Fouly, E. F. El-Saadany, and M. M. A. Salama, "Improved Grey predictor rolling models for wind power prediction," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 1, no. 6, pp. 928–937, Nov. 2007.
- [32] S. Fan, J. R. Liao, R. Yokoyama, L. N. Chen, and W. J. Lee, "Forecasting the wind generation using a two-stage network based on meteorological information," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 474–482, Jun. 2009.
- 2.200. 1. Mirri, G. N. Kerintonis, and G. K. Griebel,

2.908.

all about of your stars and G. Griebel,

and G. Stars and T. S. N. Americans, and G. Griebel,

2.908.

All $L = 0.00$, $L = 0.00$, $L = 0.00$, $L = 0.00$, $L = 0$ 1. was also may consider a matrix of the same state of the [33] R. J. Bessa, V. Miranda, and J. Gama, "Entropy and correntropy against minimum square error in offline and online three-day ahead wind power forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1657–1666, Nov. 2009.
	- [34] N. Amjady and F. Keynia, "Short-term load forecasting of power systems by combination of wavelet transform and neuro-evolutionary algorithm," *Energy*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 46–57, Jan. 2009.
	- [35] A. J. R. Reis and A. P. A. da Silva, "Feature extraction via multiresolution analysis for short-term load forecasting," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 189–198, Feb. 2005.
	- [36] S. Mallat, "A theory for multiresolution signal decomposition—The wavelet representation," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 674–693, Jul. 1989.
	- [37] A. J. Conejo, M. A. Plazas, R. Espínola, and A. B. Molina, "Day-ahead electricity price forecasting using the wavelet transform and ARIMA models," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1035–1042, May 2005.
	- [38] N. Amjady and F. Keynia, "Day ahead price forecasting of electricity markets by a mixed data model and hybrid forecast method," *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 533–546, Nov. 2008.
	- [39] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Neural Networks*, 1995, vol. 4, pp. 1942–1948.
	- [40] Z. A. Bashir and M. E. El-Hawary, "Applying wavelets to short-term load forecasting using PSO-based neural networks," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 20–27, Feb. 2009.
	- [41] W. Yu and X. Li, "Fuzzy identification using fuzzy neural networks with stable learning algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 12, pp. 411–420, Jun. 2004.
	- [42] J. S. Heo, K. Y. Lee, and R. Garduno-Ramirez, "Multiobjective control of power plants using particle swarm optimization techniques," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 21, pp. 552–561, Jun. 2006.
	- [43] J. Kennedy, "The behavior of particle," in *Proc. 7th Annu. Conf. Evol. Program.*, 1998, pp. 581–589.
	- [44] Z. Yun, Z. Quan, S. Caixin, L. Shaolan, L. Yuming, and S. Yang, "RBF neural network and ANFIS-based short-term load forecasting approach in real-time price environment," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 853–858, Aug. 2008.
	- [45] J. -S. R. Jang, "ANFIS: Adaptive-network-based fuzzy inference system," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man. Cybern.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 665–685, May/Jun. 1993.
	- [46] M. A. Shoorehdeli, M. Teshnehlab, A. K. Sedigh, and M. A. Khanesar, "Identification using ANFIS with intelligent hybrid stable learning algorithm approaches and stability analysis of training methods," *Appl. Soft. Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 833–850, Mar. 2009.
	- [47] C. P. Rodriguez and G. J. Anders, "Energy price forecasting in the Ontario competitive power system market," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 366–374, Feb. 2004.
	- [48] V. S. Ghomsheh, M. A. Shoorehdeli, and M. Teshnehlab, "Training ANFIS structure with modified PSO algorithm," in *Proc. 15th Mediterranean Conf. Control Automation*, Athens, Greece, Jul. 2007.

J. P. S. Catalão (M'04) received the M.Sc. degree from the Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon, Portugal, in 2003 and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Beira Interior, Covilha, Portugal, in 2007.

He is currently an Assistant Professor at the University of Beira Interior. He has authored or coauthored more than 110 technical papers.

Dr. Catalão is an Associate Editor for the *International Journal of Power and Energy Systems*, and a Member of the Editorial Board of *Electric Power*

Components & *Systems*. Also, he is a reviewer for IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, and other IEEE and International Journals.

V. M. F. Mendes received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from the Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon, Portugal, in 1987 and 1994, respectively.

He is currently a Coordinator Professor with Aggregation at the Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal.

IEEE PROOF Print Version **H. M. I. Pousinho** (A'09) received the M.Sc. degree from the University of Beira Interior, Covilha, Portugal, in 2009. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree at the University of Beira Interior, in collaboration with the Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal.